arXiv:1405.5649v1 [math.AG] 22 May 2014

ON THE LOG MINIMAL MODEL PROGRAM FOR IRREDUCIBLE SYMPLECTIC VARIETIES

Christian Lehn and Gianluca Pacienza

Abstract. We show the termination of any log-minimal model program for a pair (X, Δ) of a symplectic manifold X and an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor Δ.

1. Introduction

The minimal model program is by today well-established and an indispensable tool in the study of higher dimensional varieties. One of its most important goals is to find good representatives, so called *minimal models*, in every birational equivalence class of algebraic varieties, but also to determine, given a variety X, how to connect it to one of its minimal models by elementary birational transformations.

Though there has been a lot of progress, these goals have not yet been completely accomplished. The existence of minimal models as well as the termination of certain special MMPs have been established in many cases in the seminal paper [BCHM10]. What is missing in general is termination of flips.

The goal of this paper is to show that symplectic manifolds behave as good as possible with respect to the MMP. For these manifolds, termination of log-flips has been shown by Matsushita [Mat12] following a strategy due to Shokurov [ShoV] (see below). However, the termination of log-flips does not imply that every MMP on a symplectic manifold terminates, for smoothness plays a crucial role in Matsushita's argument. For example, if the MMP produces not only flips but also divisorial contractions, the resulting variety will acquire singularities and then there could still be an infinite sequence of flips. Our main result is that this does not happen.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a projective irreducible symplectic manifold and let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on X, such that the pair (X, Δ) is log-canonical. Then every log-MMP for (X, Δ) terminates in a minimal model (X', Δ') where X' is a symplectic variety with canonical singularities and Δ' is an effective, nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor.*

It is well-known that from the previous result one derives the following (see [B] for the relevant definitions and further developments).

Corollary 1.1. Let X be a projective irreducible symplectic manifold and let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on X. Then birationally Δ has a Zariski decomposition in the sense of Fujita and in the sense of Cutkosky-Kawamata-Moriwaki.

The theorem above improves on [Mat-Zhang, Theorem 1.2] where the authors prove the existence of log-minimal models for effective *movable* $\mathbb{R}$-divisors on an irreducible projective symplectic manifold.
The proof of Theorem 4.1 follows Shokurov's strategy. Let us go a little more into details. To show the termination of flips, Shokurov introduced the so-called *minimal log discrepancy* (mld for short), which is a local invariant associated with (X, Δ) and which increases under flips. It is nowadays interpreted as an invariant of the singularity of (X, Δ) at a given point. Shokurov has made two strong conjectures about the behaviour of mlds. These are the lower semi-continuity conjecture (LSC) and the ascending chain condition conjecture (ACC), see paragraph 2.3. Shokurov proved that these two conjectures imply termination of flips [ShoV]. For smooth varieties, LSC holds by the fascinating paper [EMY03] and if all varieties in a sequence of flips are smooth, ACC holds for trivial reasons. However, even if we start with a smooth variety X, the MMP easily carries us out of the class of smooth varieties. Matsushita's keypoint is simply that a flip of a smooth symplectic variety remains smooth by deep results of Namikawa [Na06], see section 4 for more details.
Shokurov's conjectures ACC and LSC seem to be out of reach for arbitrary varieties. Starting with some variety X and running a MMP might a priori produce a huge variety of different singularities. Nevertheless if we can bound the class of varieties that show up in intermediate steps of the MMP, then there is hope that Shokurov's strategy can be used. In our case, this class of varieties will be the class of proper varieties with symplectic singularities in the sense of Beauville [Be99] which have a crepant resolution by a non-singular irreducible symplectic variety. We first prove the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let Y be a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein variety and let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on Y such that (Y, Δ) is log-canonical. If $\pi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a crepant morphism and LSC holds on X, then LSC holds for (Y, Δ).*

In order to tackle ACC, we show that in a sequence of flips of singular symplectic varieties the singularities in fact *do not change*. This allows us to use a result of Kawakita [Kaw12] to conclude. To the best of our knowledge, this strategy has been exploited for the first time by Nakamura [Nak13], who considered the case of terminal quotient singularities. More concretely, we prove the following analogue of Huybrecht's theorem, which may be interesting on its own.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X and X' be projective symplectic varieties having crepant resolutions by irreducible symplectic manifolds and suppose that $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ is a birational map which is an isomorphism in codimension 1. Then X and X' are locally trivial deformations of one another.*

It is crucial for us that the deformations are locally trivial, as we want to relate the singularities of X to those of X'. As far as we know, a singular version (with hypotheses different from ours) of Huybrechts' theorem has been proved and used in [DV, Proposition 4.2], but it does not provide the local triviality of the deformations needed for our purposes.

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank Sébastien Boucksom, Stéphane Druel, James M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan and Yusuke Nakamura for helpful discussions. We thank Florin Ambro and Caucher Birkar for answering questions by e-mail. C.L. was supported by the DFG through the research grant Le 3093/1-1 and enjoyed the hospitality of the IMJ, Paris. G.P. was partially supported by the University of Strasbourg Institute for Advanced Study (USIAS), as part of a USIAS Fellowship, and by the ANR project "CLASS" no. ANR-10-JCJC-0111.

2. Minimal log discrepancies

2.1. **Notations and conventions.** A *log pair* (X, Δ) consists of a normal variety X and a $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor $\Delta \geq 0$ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier.
A *log resolution* of a log pair (X, Δ) is a projective birational morphism $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ such that $\tilde{X}$ is smooth and $\pi^*\Delta + Exc(\pi)$ has simple normal crossing support.
A birational morphism $f : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ between varieties for which K_X and $K_{\tilde{X}}$ are well-defined is called *crepant* if $\pi^*K_X = K_{\tilde{X}}$. A *crepant resolution* is a resolution of singularities which is also a crepant morphism.
A *symplectic variety* is a normal projective variety X admitting an everywhere non-degenerate closed 2-form ω on the regular locus X_{reg} of X such that, for any resolution $f : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ with $f^{-1}(X_{reg}) \cong X_{reg}$ the 2-form ω extends to a regular and closed 2-form on $\tilde{X}$.

2.2. **Elementary properties of mlds.** If (X, Δ) is a log pair and $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ is a log-resolution of (X, Δ), then we define the log discrepancy $a(E, X, \Delta)$ for a divisor E over X by the formula

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_{E \subset \tilde{X}} (1 - a(E, X, \Delta))E,$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the strict transform of Δ.
Let $c_X(E) \in X$ be the center of a divisor over X. This is a not necessarily closed point of X. The *minimal log discrepancy* at $x \in X$ is

$$\mathrm{mld}(x, X, \Delta) := \inf_{c_X(E)=x} a(E, X, \Delta)$$

and the minimal log discrepancy along a subvariety $Z \subset X$ is

$$\mathrm{mld}(Z, X, \Delta) := \inf_{x \in Z} \mathrm{mld}(x, X, \Delta).$$

Notice that from the definition we have that

$$Z \subset Z' \Rightarrow \mathrm{mld}(Z, X, \Delta) \geq \mathrm{mld}(Z', X, \Delta). \tag{2.1}$$

Frequently we will write $\mathrm{mld}(x)$ and $\mathrm{mld}(Z)$ if there is no danger of confusion. We refer to [Amb99, §1] for more details.
We collect some basic facts about mlds.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism with X normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein. Then

$$\mathrm{mld}(W, Y, D) = \mathrm{mld}(\pi^{-1}(W), X, \pi^*D - K_{X/Y}).$$

Proof. This is [EMY03, Proposition 1.3 (iv)]. □

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let us denote by $X^{(k)} \subset X$ the subset of points of dimension k. endowed with the subspace topology. The dimension of a point $x \in X$ is defined to be the dimension of the Zariski closure of x.

Lemma 2.2. The function $\mathrm{mld} := \mathrm{mld}_{(X,\Delta)} : X^{(k)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ takes only finitely many values.

Proof. This is [Amb99, Theorem 2.3]. □

2.3. **Conjectures about mlds.** Shokurov has made the two following conjectures about mlds in [ShoV].

Conjecture 2.3. (ACC) Let $\Gamma \subset [0,1]$ be a DCC-set, *i.e.* all decreasing sequences in Γ become eventually constant. For a fixed integer k the set

$$\Omega_k := \left\{ \mathrm{mld}(Z, X, \Delta) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \dim X = k, \\ (X, \Delta) \text{ log pair} \\ Z \subset X \text{ closed subvariety} \\ \mathrm{coeff}(\Delta) \in \Gamma \end{array} \right\}$$

is an ACC-set, that is, every increasing sequence $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \ldots$ in Ω_k eventually becomes stationary.

Conjecture 2.4. (LSC) Let X be a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein variety and let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. Then for each d the function $\mathrm{mld}_{(X,\Delta)} : X^{(d)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is lower semi-continuous.

Remark 2.4. If LSC holds on X, then for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the set

$$X^{(d)}_{\leq a} := \{x \in X^{(d)} \mid \mathrm{mld}(x) \leq a\} \tag{2.2}$$

is closed, that is, there is, $X^{(d)}_{\leq a} = X_{\leq a} \cap X^{(d)}$ where $X_{\leq a}$ is the closure of $X^{(d)}_{\leq a}$ in X. Moreover, $X^{(d)}_a := \{x \in X^{(d)} \mid \mathrm{mld}(x) = a\}$ is open in $X^{(d)}_{\leq a}$. All this follows directly from Lemma 2.2 which together with the lower semi-continuity implies that for $x \in X^{(d)}$ there is an open neighbourhood $U \subset X^{(d)}$ of x such that

$$\forall x' \in U : \ \mathrm{mld}(x) \leq \mathrm{mld}(x').$$

It is well-known and an easy consequence of [Amb99, Prop. 2.5] that lower semi-continuity is equivalent to $\mathrm{mld} : X^{(0)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ being lower semi-continuous. Moreover, by loc. cit. one also sees that ACC holds, as soon as

$$\Omega^{(0)}_k := \left\{ \mathrm{mld}(x, X, \Delta) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \dim X = k, \\ K_X + \Delta \ \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier}, \\ x \in X \text{ closed point} \\ \mathrm{coeff}(\Delta) \in \Gamma \end{array} \right\}$$

is an ACC set.

Next we show that LSC descends along crepant morphisms.

Theorem 2.5. *Let Y be a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein variety and let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on Y such that (Y, Δ) is log-canonical. If $\pi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a proper, crepant morphism and LSC holds on X, then*

$$\mathrm{mld} : Y^{(0)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

is lower semi-continuous.

Proof. Let us fix a closed point $y \in Y$ and denote $W := \pi^{-1}(y)$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\mathrm{mld}(y, Y, D) = \mathrm{mld}(\pi^{-1}(y), X, \pi^* D). \tag{2.3}$$

We have to show that there is an open neighbourhood $U \subset Y$ of y such that

$$\mathrm{mld}(y') \geq \mathrm{mld}(y) \quad \forall y' \in U.$$

To this end we spot the "bad" subsets of Y. By Lemma 2.2 the function mld takes only finitely many values. If $a := \mathrm{mld}(y)$ is the smallest mld on $Y^{(0)}$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise let us denote by b the maximal mld on Y with $b < a$. In view of (2.3), the search for mlds smaller than a can be carried out on X, but at the price of having to take into account not only closed points. Consider for each $0 \leq d \leq n := \dim X$ the set

$$C_d := \{x \in X^{(d)} \mid \dim \pi(x) = 0, \mathrm{mld}(x) \leq b\}.$$

Let $\overline{C}_d$ denote the Zariski closure of C_d in X. By assumption, (LSC) holds on X and hence all $x \in \overline{C}_d$ with $\dim x = d$ satisfy $\mathrm{mld}(x) \leq b$. Now we set

$$U := Y \setminus \bigcup_{d=0}^{n} \pi(\overline{C}_d),$$

where $n = \dim(X)$. As π is proper, U is open. We will consecutively prove the following claims.

(1) Every irreducible component of $\overline{C}_d$ has relative dimension at least d over its image.
(2) $y \in U$.
(3) $\mathrm{mld}(y') \geq \mathrm{mld}(y)$ for all $y' \in U$.

Let Σ denote an irreducible component of $\overline{C}_d$ for some d. As $\overline{C}_d$ is the closure of C_d, the set $C_d \cap \Sigma$ is not empty. Thus, the set $\Sigma_{\geq d} := \{x \in \Sigma \mid \dim \pi^{-1}\pi(x) \geq d\}$ is not empty. By the upper semi-continuity of the fiber dimension [Gro66, Corollaire 13.1.5], $\Sigma_{\geq d}$ is closed and by definition we have $\Sigma_{\geq d} \supset \Sigma \cap C_d$. Therefore, as Σ is a component of the closure of C_d we have $\Sigma_{\geq d} = \Sigma$ and the first claim follows.
Suppose that $y \notin U$. Then we would have a point $x \in \overline{C}_d$ for some d with $\pi(x) = y$. By the previous statement, we have $\dim(W \cap \overline{C}_d) \geq d$, where, we recall, $W = \pi^{-1}(y)$. This implies that there is $x' \in W \cap \overline{C}_d$ with $\dim x' = d$ and hence $\mathrm{mld}(x') \leq b$ by the definition of B_d. But then, by (2.1)

$$a = \mathrm{mld}(y) \leq \mathrm{mld}(x') \leq b$$

contradicting the choice of $b < a$. Thus $y \in U$.

Now if there were some $y' \in U$ with $\mathrm{mld}(y') < \mathrm{mld}(y) = a$ it would also be $\leq b$ by the maximality of b. Let $x \in \pi^{-1}(y')$ be a point with $\mathrm{mld}(x) = \mathrm{mld}(y')$ and denote $d := \dim(x)$. This would imply $x \in C_d \subset \overline{C}_d$ contrarily to the assumption $y' \in U$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

By [EMY03, Thm. 0.3], LSC holds on smooth varieties. This immediately yields

Corollary 2.5. Let Y be a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein variety possessing a crepant resolution of singularities. Let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor on Y such that $K_Y + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. Then the function $\mathrm{mld}_{(Y,\Delta)}$ is lower semi-continuous. □

3. Deformations

We work over the field of complex numbers. Recall that an irreducible symplectic manifold is by definition a compact simply connected Kähler manifold X such that $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ is generated by a holomorphic symplectic form. In this section we are going to prove the following result which should be interpreted as an analogue of the well-known result of Huybrechts [Hu03, Thm. 2.5]. The proof relies on Namikawa's work [Na01, Na06, Na10]. This is the only section where we make use of the complex numbers. This however does not seem to happen in an essential way and results as well as proofs should carry over mutatis mutandis to any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X and X' be projective symplectic varieties having crepant resolutions by irreducible symplectic manifolds and suppose that $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ is a birational map which is an isomorphism in codimension 1. Then X and X' are locally trivial deformations of one another.*

The essential ingredient is the smoothness of certain deformation spaces, which is obtained by invoking Ran's T^1-lifting principle [Ran92, Ka92, Ka97]. Essentially it says that a given deformation problem is unobstructed if the the tangent space T^1_X to the deformation space is "deformation invariant" in the sense that its relative version $T^1_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module for every small deformation $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow S$ of X. We refer to [Le11, 4.13] or [GHJ, §14] for a concise account. Recall (e.g. from [Ser06, 1.2]) that the tangent space to the deformation functor $\mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}_X$ of locally trivial deformations of an algebraic variety X is $H^1(T_X)$, opposed to arbitrary deformations, where the tangent space is $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. An obstruction space for $\mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}_X$ is given by $H^2(T_X)$.

Let us recall the following well-known result on the local structure of singular symplectic varieties. For convenience we sketch the proof which is due to Kaledin and Namikawa, see [Na10].

Proposition 3.1. Let X be a symplectic variety. Then there is an open subset $U \subset X$ such that $\operatorname{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 4$ and every $x \in U$ has a neighbourhood which is locally analytically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^{2n-2}, 0) \times (S, p)$ where $2n = \dim X$ and (S, p) is the germ of a rational double point on a surface. This isomorphism can be chosen to preserve the symplectic structure.

Proof. Let $\Sigma \subset X$ be the singular locus of the reduction of X^{sing}. Kaledin's result [Kal06, Thm. 2.3] implies that Σ has codimension ≥ 4 and that every point of $U := X \setminus \Sigma$ admits the sought for product decomposition in the formal category. By [Ar69, Corollary 2.6] the decomposition exists analytically. The last statement is [Na10, Lemma 1.3]. □

Proposition 3.2. Let X be a compact symplectic variety, let $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ be a crepant resolution by a compact Kähler manifold $\tilde{X}$ and let $U \subset X$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Then the restriction $H^1(X, T_X) \longrightarrow H^1(U, T_U)$ is an isomorphism and $h^1(T_X) = h^1(T_{\tilde{X}}) - m$ where m is the number of irreducible components of the exceptional divisor of π.

Proof. Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(T_X) & \longrightarrow & Ext^1(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^0(T^1_X) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(T_U) & \longrightarrow & Ext^1(\Omega_U, \mathcal{O}_U) & \longrightarrow & H^0(T^1_U) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact lines, where exactness at $H^0(T^1_U)$ is shown in (ii) of the proof of [Na01, Theorem 2.2]. Moreover, ϕ is an isomorphism by [Na01, Proposition 2.1]. In order to show that $H^1(T_X) \longrightarrow H^1(T_U)$ is an isomorphism it hence suffices to show that

$$h^1(T_X) \geq h^1(T_U). \tag{3.1}$$

Let us consider the following diagram. Here $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}$ denotes the preimage of U under π.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_* T_{\tilde{X}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(T_{\tilde{X}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(R^1\pi_* T_{\tilde{X}}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_* T_{\tilde{U}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(T_{\tilde{U}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(R^1\pi_* T_{\tilde{U}}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

As T_X is reflexive, we have $j_*T_U = T_X$ where $j : U \longrightarrow X$ denotes the inclusion and hence $\pi_*T_{\tilde{X}} = T_X$. So $H^1(\pi_*T_{\tilde{X}})$ has dimension $h^1(T_X)$. The same argument shows that $H^1(\pi_*T_{\tilde{U}})$ has dimension $h^1(T_U)$. Again by [Na01, Proposition 2.1], the morphism ϕ' is an isomorphism. Moreover, exactness at $H^0(R^1\pi_*T_{\tilde{U}})$ and the equality $h^1(T_U) = h^1(T_{\tilde{U}}) - m$ have been shown in (ii) of the proof of [Na01, Theorem 2.2]. So the desired inequality (3.1) follows if in diagram (3.2) the morphism ψ is injective. But already the morphism $R^1\pi_*T_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow R^1\pi_*T_{\tilde{U}}$ is injective which follows from $\mathscr{H}^1_{\tilde{Z}}(T_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ where $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{U}$ because $\tilde{Z}$ has codimension ≥ 2 and $T_{\tilde{X}}$ is locally free. This concludes the proof. □

In the situation of Theorem 3.1, let $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ be a crepant resolution and let $D = \sum_{i=1}^m D_i$ be the exceptional divisor with its decomposition into irreducible components D_i. Denote by $L_i := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(D_i)$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathscr{X}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X})$ the universal deformation of $\tilde{X}$. This is the germ of a smooth space of dimension $h^{1,1}(\tilde{X})$ by the Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov theorem. We consider the following subspaces of $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X})$:

- $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{L}) \subset \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X})$ is the base of the universal deformation of $(\tilde{X}, L_1, \ldots, L_m)$, see [Hu99, (1.14)]. As the D_i define linearly independent classes in $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{L})$ is smooth and of codimension m in $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X})$ by loc. cit.
- $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) \subset \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X})$ is the image of the components containing all D_i of the relative Douady space $\mathscr{D}(\tilde{\mathscr{X}} / \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X})$. This is the space where all components D_i deform along with $\tilde{X}$.

We clearly have $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) \subset \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{L})$ Consequently, $\dim \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) \leq h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) - m$.
The key step will be to prove the smoothness of the space of locally trivial deformations of the singular variety X.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ be as above. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{X}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D})$ and $\mathscr{X} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ be the universal deformations. Then there is a diagram

(3.3)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathscr{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X) \end{array}$$

with the following properties:

(1) $\mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ is smooth of dimension $h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) - m$.
(2) π_* is the restriction of the natural finite morphism $\Pi : \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Def}(X)$.

(3) $\dim \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) = h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) - m$, in particular $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) = \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{L})$.

Proof. We will first show that $\mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ is smooth. Let $U \subset X$ be as in Proposition 3.1. The restriction $H^1(T_X) \longrightarrow H^1(T_U)$ is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.2, in other words, deformations and their local triviality are determined on U. Let $j : X^{\mathrm{reg}} \longrightarrow U$ denote the inclusion. As T_U is reflexive, we have that $T_U \cong j_* T_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}$. Hence $H^1(T_X) = H^1(U, j_*\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}) = \mathbb{H}^2(U, j_*\Omega^{\geq 1}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}})$ which is deformation invariant. To see this last claim we consider the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow j_*\Omega^{\geq 1}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}} \longrightarrow j_*\Omega^{\bullet}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.4}$$

By Grothendieck's theorem for V-manifolds $\mathbb{H}^k(j_*\Omega^{\bullet}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}) = H^k(U, \mathbb{C})$, see for example the footnote in the proof of [Na06, Proposition (1.11)]. Moreover, we have

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_U) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0,$$

where the first equality holds because X is Cohen-Macaulay and $\mathrm{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 4$ and the second because X has rational singularities. In the same way, one finds

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_U) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

so that (3.4) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(j_*\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \longrightarrow H^2(U, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_U) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the last map is surjective because the composition $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\mathcal{O}_U)$ is. The same line of arguments works identically in a relative situation and shows that $H^1(T_{\mathcal{X}/S}) = H^1(j_*\Omega_{(\mathcal{X}/S)^{\mathrm{reg}}})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module for any small deformation $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow S$ over a local artinian scheme S. In other words, the tangent space to the deformation functor $H^1(T_X)$ is deformation invariant, hence by the T^1-lifting argument $\mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ is smooth. From Proposition 3.2 it follows that $\dim \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X) = h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) - m$.
As explained in [Na06, §3] there is a diagram as (3.3) for arbitrary instead of locally trivial deformations. In particular, there is a finite map $\Pi : \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Def}(X)$ and for each $t \in \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ and every $s \in \Pi^{-1}(t)$ the morphism $\tilde{\mathscr{X}_s} \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}_t$ is a crepant resolution. Denote by $\mathscr{U} \subset \mathscr{X}$ be the induced locally trivial deformation of $U \subset X$. Note that by the choice of $\mathscr{U}$ it is a locally trivial deformation of an ADE-surface singularity, thus it has a unique minimal relative resolution $\widetilde{\mathscr{U}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{U}$. By uniqueness, $\widetilde{\mathscr{U}_t}$ embeds into $\tilde{\mathscr{X}_s}$ for each $t \in \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ and $s \in \Pi^{-1}(t)$. Taking the closure of the irreducible components of the exceptional divisor of this morphism in $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ we obtain deformations of the D_i over $\Pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X))$ and thus the inclusion $\Pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)) \subset \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D})$ holds. As $\dim \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) \leq h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) - m$,

this inclusion is an equality and the restriction of Π to $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D})$ gives the desired morphism. Also (3) follows from this. □

Proposition 3.4. Let $X \dashrightarrow X'$ be as in Theorem 3.1 and let $\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ and $\mathscr{X}' \to \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X')$ be the universal locally trivial deformations of X respectively X'. Then there is a correspondence $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X) \times \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X')$ surjecting onto each factor such that for each $(t, t') \in \Gamma$ we have a birational map $\phi_{(t,t')} : \mathscr{X}_t \dashrightarrow \mathscr{X}'_{t'}$. For general $(t, t') \in \Gamma$, the map $\phi_{(t,t')}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\tilde{X} \to X$, $\tilde{X}' \to X'$ be crepant resolutions of singularities and consider the morphisms $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}', \underline{D}) \xrightarrow{\pi'_*} \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X')$ from Proposition 3.3. As $\tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}'$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1, the local Torelli theorem gives an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}) \to \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}', \underline{D})$ and under this identification the fibers $\mathscr{X}_t$ and $\mathscr{X}'_t$ will be birational. As the morphisms $\pi_t : \mathscr{X}_t \to \mathscr{X}_t$ and $\pi'_t : \mathscr{X}'_t \to \mathscr{X}'_t$ contract the same divisors, we obtain a birational map $\mathscr{X}_{\pi_*(t)} \to \mathscr{X}'_{\pi'_*(t)}$ which is isomorphic in codimension one. We put $\Gamma := (\pi_* \times (\pi'_* \circ \varphi))\,(\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{X}, \underline{D}))$. The last statement follows as the general projective deformation of X has Picard number one. Note that we can always deform to projective varieties. □

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

4. Termination

In this section we prove our main result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a projective irreducible symplectic manifold and let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on X, such that the pair (X, Δ) is log-canonical. Then log-MMPs for (X, Δ) exist and every log-MMP terminates in a minimal model (X', Δ') where X' is a symplectic with canonical singularities variety and Δ' is an effective, nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor.*

In fact, we could also drop the lc-assumption on (X, Δ), as thanks to $K_X = 0$ we can rescale Δ at any time. The proof of the theorem will occupy the rest of the section. Let (X, Δ) be a log pair on a projective irreducible symplectic manifold. By [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.1], $(K_X + \Delta)$-flips exist and so we may run a $(K_X + \Delta)$-MMP. This produces a sequence

$$X = X_0 \overset{\phi_0}{\dashrightarrow} X_1 \overset{\phi_1}{\dashrightarrow} X_2 \dashrightarrow \dots \tag{4.1}$$

where the ϕ_i are either divisorial contractions or flips. Let us denote $\Delta_0 := \Delta$ and $\Delta_i = (\phi_i)_* \Delta_{i-1}$. Note that at each step K_{X_i} will be trivial and therefore we can rescale Δ_i such that (X_i, Δ_i) will be klt, hence the above result

applies. We want to show that (4.1) terminates after a finite number of steps. First we notice:

Lemma 4.2. Each X_i is a symplectic variety and admits a crepant resolution.

Proof. By induction we may assume that X_{i-1} is a symplectic variety and has a crepant resolution $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X}_{i-1} \longrightarrow X_{i-1}$. Symplecticity of X_i is clear, as the exceptional locus of $X_{i-1} \dashrightarrow X_i$ on X_i has codimension ≥ 2 and thus the symplectic form from X_{i-1} extends. By [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3] there exists a proper birational morphism $\pi : \tilde{X}_i \longrightarrow X_i$ such that $\tilde{X}_i$ has only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities and π is crepant. Let $X_{i-1} \longrightarrow Z \longleftarrow X_i$ be the flipping contraction. Then the compositions $\tilde{X}_i \longrightarrow X_i \longrightarrow Z$ and $\tilde{X}_{i-1} \longrightarrow X_{i-1} \longrightarrow Z$ are crepant morphisms and $\tilde{X}_{i-1}$ is smooth, hence by [Na06, Corollary 1, p. 98] also $\tilde{X}_i$ is smooth. □

In the course of the MMP, only a finite number of divisorial contractions can occur so that we can reduce to the following situation: $X = X_0$ is a symplectic variety having a crepant resolution, $\Delta = \Delta_0$ is an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X and we are given a sequence

$$X = X_0 \overset{\phi_0}{\dashrightarrow} X_1 \overset{\phi_1}{\dashrightarrow} X_2 \dashrightarrow \dots \tag{4.2}$$

where ϕ_i is a log-flip for the pair (X_i, Δ_i). We will show that such a sequence is finite by using Shokurov's criterion. We only need LSC for the pairs (X_i, Δ_i) and ACC for the set

$$\Omega(X) := \{\mathrm{mld}(E_i, X_i, \Delta_i) | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where $E_i \subset X_i$ denotes the exceptional locus of $\phi_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$, see also [HM10, §3]. Recalling that LSC holds by Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 4.2 above, we are left with ACC. By a theorem of Kawakita [Kaw12], the set of all mlds for a fixed finite set of coefficients on a fixed projective variety is finite. More precisely, let X be a projective variety, let $\Gamma \subset [0, 1]$ be a finite set and consider

$$M_\Gamma(X) := \{\mathrm{mld}_{(X,\Delta)}(x) \mid \mathrm{coeff}(\Delta) \in \Gamma, (X, \Delta) \text{ lc at } x \in X^{(0)}\}$$

Then by [Kaw12, Thm. 1.2], the set $M_\Gamma(X)$ is finite. By Theorem 3.1 all X_i in the sequence (4.2) are locally trivial deformations of one another. Thus the ACC condition is satisfied by the following observation, which has already been made in [Nak13, Corollary 1.4].

Proposition 4.1. If X and X' are locally trivial deformations of one another, then $M_\Gamma(X) = M_\Gamma(X')$.

Proof. By definition of M_Γ we only consider mlds that are attained in closed points. But then it follows from the fact that mlds are local invariants. □

As by Remark 2.4 it suffices to have ACC in closed points, the hypotheses of Shokurov's criterion are fulfilled so that we may conclude the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

References

[Amb99] Florin Ambro. On minimal log discrepancies. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 6(5-6):573–580, 1999. – cited on p. 4, 5

[Ar69] Michael Artin. Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings. *Publ.Math. I.H.E.S.*, vol 36 (1969), 23-58. – cited on p. 8

[Be99] Arnaud Beauville. Symplectic singularities. *Invent. math.*, 139, 541-549 (2000). – cited on p. 2

[BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James M^{c}Kernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23(2):405–468, 2010. – cited on p. 1, 11, 12

[B] Caucher Birkar, On existence of log minimal models and weak Zariski decompositions, *Math. Annalen*, 354 (2012), Number 2, 787–799. – cited on p. 2

[DV] Olivier Debarre and Claire Voisin. Hyper-Kähler fourfolds and Grassmann geometry. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 649 (2010) 63–87. – cited on p. 3

[EMY03] Mircea Mustaţă, L. Ein and T. Yasuda. Jet schemes, log discrepancies and Inversion of Adjunction. *Invent. Math.*, 153, (2003), 119-135. – cited on p. 2, 4, 7

[GHJ] M. Gross, D. Huybrechts, and D. Joyce. *Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. – cited on p. 7

[Gro66] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (28):255, 1966. – cited on p. 6

[HM10] Christopher Hacon and James M^{c}Kernan. Flips and flops. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians.*, Volume II, 513-539, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010. – cited on p. 12

[Hu99] Daniel Huybrechts. Compact hyperkähler manifolds: Basic results. *Invent. Math.*, 135 (1999), 63-113. – cited on p. 9

[Hu03] Daniel Huybrechts. The Kähler cone of a compact hyperkähler manifold, *Math. Ann.*, 326 (2003), 499-513. – cited on p. 7

[Kal06] Dmitry B. Kaledin. Symplectic singularities from the Poisson point of view. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 600 (2006), 135Ð156. – cited on p. 8

[Kaw12] Masayuki Kawakita. Discreteness of log discrepancies over log canonical triples on a fixed pair, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, Published electronically: Feb 25, 2014, arXiv:1204.5248. – cited on p. 3, 12

[Ka92] Yujiro Kawamata. Unobstructed deformations. A remark on a paper of Z. Ran: *Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle*, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1(2), 183-190, 1992. – cited on p. 7

[Ka97] Yujiro Kawamata. Erratum on: *Unobstructed deformations. A remark on a paper of Z. Ran: "Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle*, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 6(4), 803-804, 1997. – cited on p. 7

[Le11] Christian Lehn. Deformations of Lagrangian subvarieties of holomorphic symplectic manifolds, arXiv:1112.1887. – cited on p. 7

[Mat12] Daisuke Matsushita. On almost holomorphic lagrangian fibrations. arXiv:1209.1194, 2012. – cited on p. 1

[Mat-Zhang] Daisuke Matsushita and De-Qi Zhang. Zariski F-decomposition and Lagrangian fibration on hyper-Kähler manifolds, `arXiv:09075311v5`, October 2013. To appear in Math. Research Letters. – cited on p. 2

[Nak13] Yusuke Nakamura. On semi-continuity problems for minimal log discrepancies. arXiv:1305.1410, 2013. – cited on p. 3, 12

[Na01] Yoshinori Namikawa. Deformation theory of singular symplectic n-folds. *Math. Ann.*, 319 (2001), no. 3, 597–623. – cited on p. 7, 8, 9

[Na06] Yoshinori Namikawa. On deformations of Q-factorial symplectic varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 599 (2006) 97–110. – cited on p. 2, 7, 10, 12

[Na10] Yoshinori Namikawa. Poisson deformations of affine symplectic varieties, *Duke Math. J.*, Volume 156, Number 1 (2011), 51–85. – cited on p. 7, 8

[Ran92] Ziv Ran. Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1(2), 279-291, 1992. – cited on p. 7

[Ser06] Edoardo Sernesi. Deformations of algebraic schemes. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 334. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. – cited on p. 7

[ShoV] V.V. Shokurov. Letters of a bi-rationalist V - mld's and the termination of log flips. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 246 (2004); translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 2004, no. 3 (246), 315–336 – cited on p. 1, 2, 4

Christian Lehn, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, 4 place Jussieu, Boîte 247, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
E-mail address: `christian.lehn@imj-prg.fr`

Gianluca Pacienza, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université de Strasbourg et CNRS, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France
E-mail address: `pacienza@math.unistra.fr`